DEEP PHOTOMETRY OF GRB 041006 AFTERGLOW: HYPERNOVA BUMP AT REDSHIFT $z = 0.716^{10}$

K. Z. STANEK,² P. M. GARNAVICH,³ P. A. NUTZMAN,² J. D. HARTMAN,² A. GARG,² K. Adelberger,⁴ P. Berlind,⁵

A. Z. BONANOS,² M. L. CALKINS,⁵ P. CHALLIS,² B. S. GAUDI,² M. J. HOLMAN,² R. P. KIRSHNER,² B. A. MCLEOD,² D. OSIP,⁶

T. PIMENOVA,³ T. H. REIPRICH,⁷ W. ROMANISHIN,⁸ T. SPAHR,² S. C. TEGLER,⁹ AND X. ZHAO³

Received 2005 February 16; accepted 2005 April 27; published 2005 May 20

ABSTRACT

We present deep optical photometry of the afterglow of gamma-ray burst (GRB) 041006 and its associated hypernova obtained over 65 days after detection (55 *R*-band epochs on 10 different nights). Our early data (t < 4 days) joined with published GCN data indicate a steepening decay, approaching $F_{\nu} \propto t^{-0.6}$ at early times ($t \ll 1$ day) and $F_{\nu} \propto t^{-1.3}$ at late times. The break at $t_b = 0.16 \pm 0.04$ days is the earliest reported jet break among all GRB afterglows. During our first night, we obtained 39 exposures spanning 2.15 hr from 0.62 to 0.71 days after the burst that reveal a smooth afterglow, with an rms deviation of 0.024 mag from the local power-law fit, consistent with photometric errors. After $t \sim 4$ days, the decay slows considerably, and the light curve remains approximately flat at $R \sim 24$ mag for a month before decaying by another magnitude to reach $R \sim 25$ mag 2 months after the burst. This "bump" is well fit by a *k*-corrected light curve of supernova SN 1998bw, but only if stretched by a factor of 1.38 in time. In comparison with the other GRB-related SN bumps, GRB 041006 stakes out new parameter space for GRBs/SNe, with a very bright and significantly stretched late-time SN light curve. Within a small sample of fairly well observed GRB/SN bumps, we see a hint of a possible correlation between their peak luminosity and their "stretch factor," broadly similar to the well-studied Phillips relation for the Type Ia supernovae.

Subject headings: galaxies: distances and redshifts - gamma rays: bursts - supernovae: general

Online material: color figures, machine-readable table

1. INTRODUCTION

At least some gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) are produced by events with the spectra and light curves of core-collapse supernovae (SNe), as demonstrated decisively by two GRBs that occurred in 2003, GRB 030329/SN 2003dh (e.g., Stanek et al. 2003; Matheson et al. 2003) and GRB 031203/SN 2003lw (e.g., Malesani et al. 2004). At redshifts of z = 0.1685 and z =0.1055, respectively, these are classical GRBs with the two lowest redshifts measured to date (although GRB 031203 was most likely subluminous compared to other classical GRBs). GRB 980425 at z = 0.008 was also associated with "hypernova" 1998bw (Galama et al. 1998), but the isotropic energy of that burst was 10^{-3} to 10^{-4} times weaker than classical cosmological GRBs, which might place it in a unique class.

In addition, late-time deviations from the power-law decline typically observed for optical afterglows have been seen in a number of cases, and these bumps in the light curves have been interpreted as evidence of supernovae (for a recent summary of their properties, see Zeh et al. (2004, hereafter ZKH04).

¹ Based on data from the MMT Observatory 6.5 m telescope, the 1.8 m Vatican Advanced Technology Telescope, the Magellan 6.5 m Baade and Clay telescopes, and the Keck II 10 m telescope.

² Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138; kstanek@cfa.harvard.edu.

³ Department of Physics, University of Notre Dame, 225 Nieuwland Science Hall, Notre Dame, IN 46556.

⁴ Carnegie Observatories, 813 Santa Barbara Street, Pasadena, CA 91101.

⁵ F. L. Whipple Observatory, 670 Mount Hopkins Road, P.O. Box 97, Amado, AZ 85645.

⁶ Carnegie Institution of Washington, Las Campanas Observatory, Casilla 601, La Serena, Chile.

⁷ Institut für Astrophysik und Extraterrestrische Forschung, Universität Bonn, Auf dem Hügel 71, 53121 Bonn, Germany.

⁸ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma, 440 West Brooks, Norman, OK 73019.

⁹ Department Physics and Astronomy, Northern Arizona University, Box 6010, Flagstaff, AZ 86011.

Possibly the best case of a supernova bump was provided by GRB 011121, which occurred at a redshift of z = 0.36 and thus would have had a relatively bright supernova component. A bump in the light curve was observed both from the ground and with the *Hubble Space T elescope* (Garnavich et al. 2003; Bloom et al. 2002). The color changes in the light curve of GRB 011121 were also consistent with a supernova, designated SN 2001ke (Garnavich et al. 2003).

Due to this mounting evidence, there have been claims that all supernovae that produce GRBs are Type Ic hypernovae. The bias toward Type Ic is partly due to the perceived difficulty in producing a jet that escapes from a star with a massive envelope. But this prejudice may not be justified; after all, it was recently believed that due to the large baryon content of supernovae, it was not possible for any supernova to be a GRB source. It is therefore prudent to still assume that the range of SN types that are responsible for GRBs, and their properties, is an unsolved observational problem. In several cases there is evidence that GRBs could indeed be associated with other types of supernovae, such as Type IIn (Garnavich et al. 2003), normal Type Ib/c, or fainter hypernovae (Price et al. 2003; Della Valle et al. 2003; Fynbo et al. 2004). Obtaining magnitudes, colors, and spectra of more GRB supernovae is clearly a top priority in understanding the origin of long/soft bursts.

GRB 041006 was detected and localized by the French Gamma Telescope and the Wide-Field X-Ray Monitor instruments aboard the *High Energy Transient Explorer II (HETE-2)* at 12:18:08 (UT is used throughout this Letter) on 2004 October 6 (Galassi et al. 2004). It was classified as an "X-ray–rich GRB," and it was similar to GRB 030329 in its light-curve shape and spectral characteristics. Da Costa et al. (2004) and Price et al. (2004a) reported discovery of a new, fading optical source within the 5'.0 *HETE* error circle, located at $\alpha = 00^{h}54^{m}50^{\circ}2$, $\delta = +01^{\circ}14'07''$ (J2000.0), and identified it as the GRB optical afterglow. Fugazza et al. (2004) and Price et al. (2004b) obtained an absorption-line redshift of z = 0.716 for the afterglow, which

TABLE 1 JOURNAL OF PHOTOMETRIC OBSERVATIONS

ΔT a	$R_{\rm C}$	σ_R	t_{exp} (s)	Observatory ^b
0.6225	20.931	0.023	120	MMT
0.6311	20.918	0.017	180	MMT
0.6397	20.973	0.021	120	MMT
0.6418	20.925	0.021	120	MMT
0.6437	20.954	0.020	120	MMT

NOTE.—Table 1 is published in its entirety in the electronic edition of the *Astrophysical Journal*. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content. ^a Days after 2004 October 6.5126 UT.

^b MMT: MMT telescope/MegaCam; VATT: Vatican Advanced Technology Telescope; Clay: Magellan Clay tel./MagIC; Keck II: Keck II tel./ESI; Baade: Magellan Baade tel./IMACS.

is fairly low for a GRB. Motivated by the continued need to study the GRB/SN connection as discussed above, we undertook photometric monitoring of the optical afterglow of GRB 041006. In this Letter, we present photometry of the afterglow of GRB 041006 obtained throughout the 2 months immediately following the burst, which resulted in a clear detection of a light-curve bump, most likely due to the underlying hypernova. We discuss the properties of that hypernova compared to the sample of other well-studied GRB-related SNe.

2. THE PHOTOMETRIC DATA

The photometric data we obtained are listed in Table 1.¹⁰ The majority of our data were obtained with the MegaCam CCD mosaic (McLeod et al. 2000) mounted on the MMT 6.5 m telescope. Additional data were obtained using the 1.8 m Vatican Advanced Technology Telescope, the Magellan 6.5 m Baade and Clay telescopes, and the Keck II 10 m telescope.¹¹

All the data were reduced using DAOPHOT II (Stetson 1987, 1992). For the MMT data, we have used 10–50 stars to establish the zero-point offset between frames. We found that a nearby star, 9" west and 4" north of the afterglow, is constant over many days to within 0.02 mag, with R = 21.90 using the calibration of Garnavich et al. (2004b) and Henden (2004). For other data, we use that star as the photometric calibrator. For the MegaCam data, in which a Sloan r' filter was used, we found that it translates well into standard R_c filter magnitudes without a need for a color term.

3. TEMPORAL BEHAVIOR IN THE PHOTOMETRY

3.1. Early Photometry: Days 1–4

Figure 1 presents the *R*-band light curve for GRB 041006. We have added some data published via the GCN (see the caption of Fig. 1 for the references) to fill in the gaps in our data. All of the GCN data were brought to the common zero point, and if no photometric error was reported, we adopted a conservative value of 0.2 mag. We have fit the GRB 041006



FIG. 1.—*R*-band light curve for GRB 041006. Early data (days 1–4) are fit to a broken power law (*dashed curve*). The fit yields a very early break time, $t_b = 0.14$ days, which might be the earliest jet break reported to date. The filled circles are our data, while open circles are data from GCN (Kinugasa & Torii 2004; Kahharov et al. 2004; Fugazza et al. 2004; D'Avanzo et al. 2004; Monfardini et al. 2004; Misra & Pandey 2004; Covino et al. 2004; Balman et al. 2004; Bikmaev et al. 2004). [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]

data with the broken power-law model of Beuermann et al. (1999; see also Stanek et al. 2001):

$$F_{R}(t) = \frac{2F_{R,0}}{\left[(t/t_{b})^{\alpha_{1}s} + (t/t_{b})^{\alpha_{2}s}\right]^{1/s}},$$
(1)

where t_b is the time of the break, $F_{R,0}$ is the *R*-band flux at t_b , and *s* is a parameter that determines the sharpness of the break (a larger *s* gives a sharper break). This formula smoothly connects the early time $t^{-\alpha_1}$ decay ($t \ll t_b$) with the later time $t^{-\alpha_2}$ decay ($t \gg t_b$). Equation (1) has been used to describe the afterglow decay of, e.g., GRBs 990510 (with s = 1) and 010222 (Stanek et al. 1999, 2001). The fit results in the following values for the parameters: $\alpha_1 = 0.59 \pm 0.13$, $\alpha_2 =$ 1.32 ± 0.02 , and $t_b = 0.16 \pm 0.04$ days (we have fixed the value of s = 2.5). Given the nonuniform data used (i.e., GCN plus our data), the quoted values for the errors of these parameters should be treated with caution.

The resulting fit is shown as the dashed curve in Figure 1. The curve is a good fit to the early afterglow, with a χ^2 per degree of freedom (dof) of 1.1. The fit yields a very early break time, $t_b = 0.16 \pm 0.04$ days, which is the earliest reported afterglow break (see the compilation of break times, t_{jet} , in Table 1 of Friedman & Bloom 2005; see also Ghirlanda et al. 2004). Given the implications for the jet opening angle and the energetics of the burst, it would be valuable to further constrain the break time with robust calibration of other early data.

Short-timescale variability has been seen in the light curves of several afterglows, including GRB 011211 (e.g., Holland et al. 2002) and GRB 021004 (e.g., Bersier et al. 2003). Motivated to look for such short-timescale variability in GRB 041006,

¹⁰ The analysis presented here supersedes our GCN circulars by Garnavich et al. (2004b) and Garg et al. (2004).

¹¹ All photometry presented in this Letter is available through anonymous ftp on cfa-ftp.harvard.edu, in the directory pub/kstanek/GRB041006. Images are available by request.

the first night on the MMT we obtained 39 high signal-to-noise ratio exposures of the afterglow, spanning 2.15 hr from 0.62 to 0.71 days after the burst. No short-timescale variability is present in our data, and the rms deviation of 0.024 mag from the local power-law fit is consistent with random errors of the photometry. The afterglow of GRB 041006 joins other well-observed afterglows, such as GRB 990510 (Stanek et al. 1999) and GRB 020813 (Laursen & Stanek 2003), in the category of very smooth afterglows.

3.2. Later Photometry: Days 5-65

Over the subsequent 2 months, we obtained *R*-band observations of the optical transient (OT) on a number of epochs. We combine our data with three additional *R*-band photometric measurements published via GCN (Covino et al. 2004; Balman et al. 2004; Bikmaev et al. 2004). These observations are presented in Figure 2.

As is apparent in Figure 2, the decay abruptly slows ~5 days after the burst. For slightly more than a month, the brightness then remains roughly flat at $R \sim 24$ mag. Over the next 30 days, the OT decays by another magnitude, falling to $R \sim 25$ mag in our final observations.

This clear detection of a light-curve "bump" strongly suggests the late-time dominance of the OT by an underlying supernova component. The supernova component peaks at roughly \sim 24 mag about 35 days after the burst, which is several magnitudes brighter than the extrapolated optical afterglow of the GRB at the time of this peak.

To estimate the properties of the hypernova bump in GRB 041006, we correct for the effects of Galactic extinction using the reddening map of Schlegel et al. (1998). At the Galactic coordinates of GRB 041006, $l = 124^{\circ}74$, $b = -61^{\circ}66$, the foreground reddening is E(B - V) = 0.023, yielding an expected extinction of $A_{R} = 0.06$. We find that the OT light curve can be well modeled by a stretched SN 1998bw-like bump, kcorrected to redshift z = 0.716, combined with the continued power-law decay of the GRB optical afterglow. It is clear from the data that the amount of stretching of the SN 1998bw light curve needed is significant, and it is basically determined by the rapid decline by about a magnitude from 36.9 to 56.5 days after the burst. The composition is shown as the solid line in Figure 2. Indeed, we find a good fit using as a template the light curve of SN 1998bw, uniformly stretched in time by a factor of 1.38 ± 0.06 (in addition to the 1 + z cosmological time dilation), and with significantly brighter peak absolute magnitude (0.3 mag brighter). We did not fit for the brightness of the host, as its influence on the derived *R*-band magnitudes would vary for our data set obtained with different telescopes, instruments, and varying seeing conditions. To account for that, we adopt an asymmetric error bar for the peak brightness of the supernova of -0.1, +0.2 mag.

Thus, the hypernova component in the late-time OT of GRB 041006 is long-lasting, in contrast to, e.g., GRB 01121/SN 2001ke (Garnavich et al. 2003) and GRB 030329/SN 2003dh (Lipkin et al. 2004; Deng et al. 2005), in which the bumps decay faster than SN 1998bw (see next section for more discussion). As in the case of GRB 030329/SN 2003dh (Matheson et al. 2003), we find no need to introduce a time delay (either positive or negative) between the afterglow and the supernova component to fit the light-curve data. In fact, adding a time delay parameter degrades the χ^2 /dof value slightly. The best-fit time delay is -0.9 ± 2.7 days; i.e., the GRB and the SN were most likely simultaneous.



FIG. 2.—Late *R*-band light curve for GRB 041006. The open circles are data from GCN, while the filled circles show our data. The early-time broken power-law decay of the optical afterglow is shown as the dashed line. A *k*-corrected SN 1998bw light curve extended by a factor of 1.38 is shown as the dotted curve. The combined power law and stretched SN 1998bw light curve is given by the solid curve. [*See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.*]

4. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We have obtained deep photometry of the optical transient associated with GRB 041006 for the first 2 months following the burst. We find that the early-time *R*-band light curve is well fit by a broken power law, with a prebreak index of $\alpha_1 =$ 0.59 and a steeper postbreak index of $\alpha_2 = 1.32$. Our fit yields a break time of $t_b = 0.16$ days after the burst, the earliest jet break observed so far. Early observations also show a very smooth afterglow, with short-timescale deviations from the power-law decay on the same order (0.025 mag) as random errors in photometry.

Continuing observations of the optical transient over the 2 months following the burst show an abrupt change from the $t^{-1.3}$ decay of the afterglow, consistent with the domination of the photometry by a SN component. The SN light curve peaks at a similar brightness to SN 1998bw but takes more time following the burst to evolve, by a factor of ~1.38.

To put the light-curve features of GRB 041006 into the context of previous GRB/SN observations, we have adopted Figure 3 from ZKH04, which presents the deduced time stretch factors relative to SN 1998bw versus their deduced luminosity ratios of GRBs/SNe relative to SN 1998bw. They consider nine GRBs, observed before the end of 2002, for which there exists some evidence of a SN bump. They have suggested a subdivision of these GRBs into a group of five with weak evidence of a bump and a group of four with statistically significant evidence of a bump (GRBs 990712, 991208, 011121, and 020405; see § 3 of ZKH04). In our Figure 3, we present only this latter group, with the additions of GRB 030329/SN 2003dh, which occurred after their cutoff date, and GRB 041006. For GRB 030329/SN 2003dh, we adopt the values for the parameters from a recent paper by Zeh et al. (2005, hereafter ZKH05). It should be stressed that given the bumpy and long-lasting

z = 0.717. Also, very interestingly, *all* GRBs with optical afterglows and measured redshifts less than that of GRB 041006 show evidence of late-time SN components (ZKH04). As is apparent in Figure 3, GRB 041006 stakes out new parameter space for GRBs/SNe, with a very bright and significantly stretched late-time SN light curve.

The distribution of light-curve stretch factors and peak brightnesses for hypernovae shown in Figure 3 is reminiscent of the relation found by Phillips (1993) for Type Ia SNe (technique introduced by Perlmutter et al. 1997 for their sample of Type Ia). We overplot the Type Ia relation extended to fast-declining events by Garnavich et al. (2004a) and extrapolated to large stretch factors. While the uncertainties for the hypernovae make a conclusive statement difficult, we note that both the hypernovae and Type Ia events are likely powered by ⁵⁶Ni decay and have similar peak luminosities implying comparable ⁵⁶Ni masses. But with the present data available for them, hypernovae are still a long way from being useful distance indicators. More events like GRB 041006 will be possible to study soon, as the *Swift* satellite (Gehrels et al. 2004) is already providing accurate localizations for a good number of GRBs.

We would like to thank the staffs of the MMT, VATT, Las Campanas, and Keck Observatories. We thank the anonymous referee for useful comments. We are grateful to Chris Stubbs for his help in obtaining some of the data. We thank Bohdan Paczyński for reading an earlier version of the manuscript and for suggesting a comparison with Type Ia SNe in Figure 3. We thank the HETE- 2 team, Scott Barthelmy, and the GRB Coordinates Network (GCN) for the quick turnaround in providing precise GRB positions to the astronomical community, and we thank all the observers who provided their data and analysis through the GCN. P. M. G. acknowledges the support of NASA/LTSA grant NAG5-9364. J. D. H. is funded by a National Science Foundation Graduate Student Research Fellowship. B. S. G. is supported by a Menzel Fellowship from the Harvard College Observatory. T. H. R. acknowledges the F. H. Levinson Fund of the Peninsula Community Foundation.

REFERENCES

- Balman, S., et al. 2004, GCN Circ. 2821, http://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/gcn/gcn3/ Gehrels, N., et al. 20
- 2821.gcn3 Bersier, D., et al. 2003, ApJ, 584, L43
- Beuermann, K., et al. 1999, A&A, 352, L26
- Determann, K., et al. 1999, A&A, 552, L20
- Bikmaev, I., et al. 2004, GCN Circ. 2826, http://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/gcn/gcn3/ 2826.gcn3
- Bloom, J. S., et al. 2002, ApJ, 572, L45
- Covino, S., et al. 2004, GCN Circ. 2803, http://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/gcn/gcn3/ 2803.gcn3
- da Costa, G. S., Noel, N., & Price, P. A. 2004, GCN Circ. 2765, http:// gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/gcn/gcn3/2765.gcn3
- D'Avanzo, P., et al. 2004, GCN Circ. 2788, http://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/gcn/gcn3/ 2788.gcn3
- Della Valle, M., et al. 2003, A&A, 406, L33
- Deng, J., Tominaga, N., Mazzali, P. A., Maeda, K., & Nomoto, K. 2005, ApJ, 624, 898
- Friedman, A. S., & Bloom, J. S. 2005, ApJ, submitted (astro-ph/0408413)
- Fugazza, D., et al. 2004, GCN Circ. 2782, http://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/gcn/gcn3/ 2782.gcn3
- Fynbo, J. P. U., et al. 2004, ApJ, 609, 962
- Galama, T. J., et al. 1998, Nature, 395, 670
- Galassi, M., et al. 2004, GCN Circ. 2770, http://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/gcn/gcn3/ 2770.gcn3
- Garg, A., Stubbs, C., Challis, P., Stanek, K. Z., & Garnavich, P. 2004, GCN Circ. 2829, http://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/gcn/gcn3/2829.gcn3
- Garnavich, P. M., et al. 2003, ApJ, 582, 924
- ——. 2004a, ApJ, 613, 1120
- Garnavich, P., Zhao, X., & Pimenova, T. 2004b, GCN Circ. 2792, http:// gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/gcn/gcn3/2792.gcn3

- Gehrels, N., et al. 2004, ApJ, 611, 1005
- Ghirlanda, G., Ghisellini, G., & Lazzati, D. 2004, ApJ, 616, 331
- Henden, A. A. 2004, GCN Circ. 2801, http://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/gcn/gcn3/ 2801.gcn3
- Holland, S. T., et al., 2002, AJ, 124, 639
- Kahharov, B., Asfandiyarov, I., Ibrahimov, M., Sharapov, D., Pozanenko, A., Rumyantsev, V., & Beskin, G. 2004, GCN Circ. 2775, http://gcn.gsfc.nasa .gov/gcn/gcn3/2775.gcn3
- Kinugasa, K., & Torii, K. 2004, GCN Circ. 2832, http://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/gcn/ gcn3/2832.gcn3
- Laursen, L. T., & Stanek, K. Z. 2003, ApJ, 597, L107
- Lipkin, Y. M., et al. 2004, ApJ, 606, 381
- Malesani, D., et al. 2004, ApJ, 609, L5
- Matheson, T., et al. 2003, ApJ, 599, 394
- McLeod, B. A., Conroy, M., Gauron, T. M., Geary, J. C., & Ordway, M. P. 2000, in Further Developments in Scientific Optical Imaging, ed. M. Bonner Denton (Cambridge: R. Soc. Chem.), 11
- Misra, K., & Pandey, S. B. 2004, GCN Circ. 2794, http://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/ gcn/gcn3/2794.gcn3
- Monfardini, A., et al. 2004, GCN Circ. 2790, http://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/gcn/gcn3/2790.gcn3
- Phillips, M. M. 1993, ApJ, 413, L105
- Perlmutter, S., et al. 1997, ApJ, 483, 565
- Price, P. A., et al. 2003, ApJ, 589, 838
- Price, P. A., da Costa, G. S., & Noel, N. 2004a, GCN Circ. 2771, http:// gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/gcn/gcn3/2771.gcn3
- Price, P. A., et al. 2004b, GCN Circ. 2791, http://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/gcn/gcn3/ 2791.gcn3
- Schlegel, D. J., Finkbeiner, D. P., & Davis, M. 1998, ApJ, 500, 525



SN 1998bw for six GRBs/SNe (see also Fig. 3 from ZKH04). Dashed lines at a

stretch factor of 1 and a luminosity ratio of 1 represent SN 1998bw values. The

four filled circles represent data for four GRBs/SNe given in ZKH04. GRB 030329/ SN 2003dh (*triangle*) and the SN component for GRB 041006 (*star*) are also shown

(see text for more discussion). Plotted with the continuous line is the analogous

afterglow of GRB 030329, the exact parameters for the un-

derlying SN 2003dh are still subject to debate (Matheson et al.

2003; Lipkin et al. 2004; Deng et al. 2005). We decided not

to include another recent GRB/SN case, GRB 031203/SN

2003lw, as it was heavily obscured by foreground Galactic dust

and resided in a rather luminous host galaxy. For that super-

nova, ZKH05 give values for the stretch of 1.14 ± 0.16 and

luminosity ratio of 1.65 \pm 0.41. We note that, among this sam-

ple of six, GRB 041006 possesses the highest redshift, with

relation for Type Ia supernovae, adopted from Garnavich et al. (2004a).

- Stanek, K. Z., Garnavich, P. M., Kaluzny, J., Pych, W., & Thompson, I. 1999,

- Stetson, P. B. 1992, in ASP Conf. Ser. 25, Astrophysical Data Analysis Software and Systems I, ed. D. M. Worrall, C. Bimesderfer, & J. Barnes (San Francisco: ASP), 297
- Zeh, A., Klose, S., & Hartmann, D. H. 2004, ApJ, 609, 952 (ZKH04) -. 2005, preprint (astro-ph/0503311) (ZKH05)